

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** 10008114-1 06/07/2001 09/878,108 Winthrop D. Childers 2356 10/02/2002 7590 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY **EXAMINER Intellectual Property Administration** TRAN, MY CHAU T P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400 **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER 1641 5 DATE MAILED: 10/02/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/878,108	CHILDERS, WINTHROP D.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		My-Chau T. Tran	1641
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.			
<ul> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>			
Status	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 J</u>	lune 2001	
1)⊠		is action is non-final.	
2a)∐	71110 4041011 1011 1011		osecution as to the merits is
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
•	Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
<u> </u>	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
•	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) 1-26 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.			
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachment(s)			
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/878,108

Art Unit: 1641

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-10, drawn to an automated method for analyzing substances, classified in class 435, subclass 4.
  - II. Claims 11-18, drawn to a test apparatus, classified in class 422, subclass 63.
  - III. Claims 19-21, drawn to a replaceable cartridge with a printhead, classified in class 422, subclass 100.
  - IV. Claims 22-24, drawn to a replaceable cartridge with a memory storage device, classified in class 435, subclass 287.1.
  - V. Claims 25-26, drawn to a method for performing arrayed analysis on a biological material, classified in class 436, subclass 55.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions of Group I and Group V are unrelated and independent inventions. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions as claimed have different method steps that have different functions and different effects. The method step of activating a test apparatus of Group I is not required by the claims of Group V. The method step of interpreting the captured data and altering dispensation of the active material in an iterative manner of Group V is not required by the claims of Group I.

Art Unit: 1641

- 3. Inventions of Groups II, III, and IV are unrelated and independent inventions. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions as claimed have different required components that have different functions and different effects. The feature of a test surface of Group II is not required by the claims of Group III-IV. The feature of a printhead of Group III is not required by the claims of Groups II and IV. The feature of a memory storage device of Group IV is not required by the claims of Group II-III.
- 4. Inventions of Group I (process) and Group II (apparatus) are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process.

  (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as the method of cell sorting or Group V. This restriction requirement is also applicable with the apparatus of Groups III and IV.
- 5. Inventions of Group V (process) and Group II (apparatus) are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process.

  (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and

Art Unit: 1641

materially different process such as the method of Group I. This restriction requirement is also applicable with the apparatus of Groups III and IV.

- Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the searches 6. required are not co-extensive thus requiring a burdensome search, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Additionally, different patentability considerations are involved for each group. For example, a patentability determination for Group III would involve a determination of the patentability of the combination of a container and a printhead (independent of its use) while a patentability determination for Group V would involve a consideration of the patentability of the method for performing arrayed analysis on a biological material. These considerations are very different in nature.
- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to My-Chau T. Tran whose telephone number is 703-305-6999. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long V. Le can be reached on 703-305-3399. The fax phone numbers for the Art Unit: 1641

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4242 for regular communications and 703-872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

mct

September 24, 2002

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

09/20/02